Public Comments
Oral Communications

July 13, 2020
City Council Meeting

From: GlendaLee [redacted]
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 4:10 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Read Aloud

[CAUTION: External Email]

Dear Gail,

Please read aloud at both meetings the email I forwarded to you about my volunteer work with the homeless.

Thank you!
Glenda Brunato

Brooks, Elizabeth

From: Wright, Shelby on behalf of City Manager
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 8:41 AM
To: Clements, Chris; Glasgo, William
Cc: Brooks, Elizabeth; Public Comment
Subject: FW: Another Concerned Citizen Regarding Fireworks

Hi Captain Clements and Captain Glasgo,

We received this email in the CM inbox regarding fireworks. They initially sent this to the Council and this email, but I wanted to forward it along to you as well.

Thanks!
Shelby

From: Stofan1 [redacted]
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 4:43 PM
To: _City Council Group <CityCouncil@ci.pacifica.ca.us>
Cc: City Manager <cmoffice@ci.pacifica.ca.us>
Subject: Another Concerned Citizen Regarding Fireworks

[CAUTION: External Email]

Dear Council Members,

I am sending you this email concerning the continue sale of Safe & Sane Fireworks. As you know as City Council Members, this has always been a "Big Big" issue in Pacifica for many years even way before Gary was promoted to Fire Chief. Former Pacifica Fire Chief & former City Council Member, Cal Hinton, was part of a group that worked together on how to create better funding for our local Community Groups other than the sale of Fireworks. They came up with the Fog Fest which unfortunately still does not bring in as much revenue as the selling of Fireworks.

Due to the firestorms last year, a group of Pacificans attended & several spoke at the City Council meeting that evening concerning the sale of Safe & Sane Fireworks. There was hope that the Council would see how dangerous it was to continue with this practice, but unfortunately the practice continued this year.

This year with the Coronavirus; the selling of S&S; and the irresponsible use of illegal Fireworks were causing a lot of havoc throughout Pacifica. Not only were we dealing with a horrific pandemic, but Pacifica was under fire. It looked like WWIII in Linda Mar & Sharp Park. Let alone, the noise and smoke that frightened pets & residents who are allergic and/or suffer from PTSD. Also, the firestorms & environmental issues are still a big concern as well.

As Council Members, I am requesting that Fireworks Sales go on the November Ballot as a measure. **Let the residents VOTE!!** Let them make the decision.

Thank you for your on going commitment & service to the Community.

Sincerely,
Gloria Stofan
[redacted]
Pacifica

Public Comments
Agenda Item # 14

July 13, 2020
City Council Meeting

Brooks, Elizabeth

From: Cal Coast for Responsible Tech <calcoast4responsibletech@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 5:44 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: 7/13 agenda item 14
Attachments: impact letter DK.pdf

submitted at the request of Dennis Kafka

thank you

Small Cell Facility

Received: **Wednesday, July 8, 2020 8:22 PM**

From: **DENNIS KAFKA** [redacted]

To: [redacted]

My name is Dennis Kafka I listened very carefully to all that was said on the Zoom Video and was very pleased to hear the negative tone, thinking very late into this meeting that this project will most likely fail. The councilman that seemed to be one of the most educated of the members related to this project, stated that his main objection is the fact that it is ugly, or an eyesore project. He also stated that this will lower the home values in the Redwood Way area. I was suddenly surprised to hear these comments and a moment later the project was approved. This same councilman corrected the modus representative when she falsely claimed that there is no other cell site in Pacifica like this one. It is directly in front of Ace Hardware in Linda Mar and it is ugly, but acceptable for a business location. The Verizon modus representative responded to another question in an unbelievable manner. She was asked, why this site location is not being considered near Ortega School or on their grounds? She responded with words similar to, Verizon doesn't want to get into it with teachers, and the parents of their students. I didn't memorize her exact words, but they were similar to Verizon would just open a can of worms if they tried to install this site anywhere near that school. The Verizon modus ladies reply, put a question in my mind. Are all of these educated teachers and the educated parents of these many children gong to be absolutely a positive no vote, for the reason of aesthetics. The view of it, while dropping off their children is horrifying. They can't imagine seeing it daily for 10 seconds two times per day, if they happen to glance in the direction of the pole, while watching their children avoid cars in the parking lot. I live at 1311 Redwood way and I don't want it installed there either! Thank you very much for your attention. Sincerely Dennis Kafka

Sent from my iPad

Brooks, Elizabeth

From: Cal Coast for Responsible Tech <calcoast4responsibletech@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 6:10 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: 7/13 agenda item 14
Attachments: antenna_letter.docx

another letter requested to be submitted on behalf of Lisa M Mendez,

July 8, 2020

Dear Pacifica City Council members,

Our home is within the 1,000 foot range of the utility pole where an 8 foot Verizon antenna is scheduled to be installed. We oppose the location of the antenna. It will hurt our home value by as much as 25%. It would have to be listed in disclosures, and at present market prices that would mean that some townhome owners are facing a $200,000 loss. We understand there is no compensation for lost property values. You can't let this happen!

The location at a school is also troubling as many families with children will not want to buy a home in the district where antennas are on school's grounds. Presently this location is ideal for school families but that will change with the antenna looming over campus. Many parents with young children living in nearby townhomes are Terra Nova graduates who plan for their children to attend the school in the future. It's very sad to see this happen to this beloved school that generations of Pacifican's have attended.

Please consider reversing the approval and please adopt municipal code that promotes fiber optics (light!) as an underground alternative to 5G antennas whenever possible. Petaluma passed ordinance that keeps the antennas away from schools and daycares—we hope Pacifica will do the same.

Thank you,

Lisa M Mendez, 54 year resident.

[redacted] Pacifica CA

Public Comments
Agenda Item # 15

July 13, 2020
City Council Meeting

Brooks, Elizabeth

From: stephen clements [redacted]
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 5:23 PM
To: Harman, Sheila A
Cc: Public Comment; Beckmeyer, Sue; Vaterlaus, Sue; Bier, Mary; O'Neill, Mike; Martin, Deirdre; Murdock, Christian; Coffey, Sarah; Murdock, Christian
Subject: Pedro Point - 1200 Danmann Avenue Appeal
Attachments: DanmannAve.pdf; ATT00001.htm

CDP-409-19, UP-118-19, PE-185-19, S-131-19

Hi there. Thank you for you kind attention to this matter. Here are the letters I personally received regarding the initial planning meeting, all opposing the project.

Brooks, Elizabeth

From: Deb Lynch [redacted]
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 5:14 PM
To: Public Comment
Cc: Beckmeyer, Sue; Bier, Mary; Vaterlaus, Sue; O'Neill, Mike; Martin, Deirdre; Murdock, Christian; Coffey, Sarah
Subject: Agenda item 15: 3333 : An Appeal of Planning Commission Action on 1300 Danmann Avenue

Greetings,
Our family lives at [redacted] Pacifica, Ca. 94044. We strongly oppose the 1300 Danmann project and support our neighbor, Stephen Clement's appeal. Our concerns include:

- The project did not follow an appropriate CEQA review process.
- It is insufficiently setback from a bluff which has known erosion Coastal Erosion Hazards.
- There is a seasonal spring South of the property along Kent running behind the Firehouse.
- There are no setbacks to the project from the sidewalk, citing the Pedro Point Firehouse as precedence, but the Firehouse was built before the city was incorporated and no building standards existed at that time.
- This will create traffic and pedestrian safety concerns, and a proper traffic and intersection study was not completed.
- The height of the buildings is out of character with the neighborhood.
- The project will excessively block light in the neighborhood and shade neighbor homes
- A project of this scale should have reached out for community input in advance of going to Planning Commission.
- The Planning Commission should have allowed additional time for review, not just 3 days from posting drawings, in consideration of the covid crisis.
- Relationship of the project to the Firehouse and playground across the street was not addressed in the presentation.
- The project is insufficiently setback from the bluff which has known erosion and doesn't clear the 100- year standard according to the Coastal Commission. As such it could become a public burden.

In light of these concerns, this project as-is should be rejected.

Thank you for consideration,
Deborah E Lynch
[redacted]
Pacifica, Ca. 94044.

Sent from Deb's iPad